

ALEXANDRA PALACE AND PARK BOARD

15 FEBRUARY 2011

Agenda item 4

**QUESTIONS, DEPUTATIONS OR PETITIONS : TO CONSIDER ANY
QUESTIONS, DEPUTATIONS OR PETITIONS RECEIVED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH PART 4, SECTION B29 OF THE COUNCIL'S
CONSTITUTION**

This page is intentionally left blank

AGENDA ITEM 4 – ALEXANDRA PALACE AND PARK BOARD

15 FEBRUARY 2011

Question to the Board of charity trustees (Under Part 4, Section B29 of the council's constitution) from Jacob O'Callaghan

Two Questions to all trustees, and Ms Julie Parker – Director of Corporate Resources, LB Haringey:

Question 1

Would the trustees accept that the charity's main past financial, legal and PR disasters of the past thirty years of the charity (the overspend after the 1980 fire, the High Court decision, and the allowing of Firoka to take over the Palace and use charity and council resources without payment) were caused, according to both the official reports (the PFI report, and the Walklate reports respectively), because decisions were allowed to be made, and public money spent, without scrutiny, debate or indeed authority.

Question 2

Would the trustees, and Ms Parker, accept that several of the items they have taken in recent months, and are asked to consider tonight, including the decision they took in December about merging of the trading company and the charity's identity, decisions of the regeneration working group, and Ms Parker's report on executive restructuring tonight, should have first been the subject of wider explanation, debate and consultation with interested parties and "stakeholders". Will they now kindly agree to postpone them until that explanation, debate and consultation has been arranged?

This page is intentionally left blank